

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/899,787		07/05/2001	David Paul Felsher	FELSHER 201.1	2368	
10037	7590	03/09/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MILDE &		ERG, LLP	SHERR, CRISTINA O			
10 BANK STREET SUITE 460				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WHITE PLAINS, NY 10606				3621		
				DATE MAILED: 03/09/2005	DATE MAILED: 03/09/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	$\rightarrow$				
	09/899,787	FELSHER, DAVID PAUL	Ð,				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Cristina Owen Sherr	3621					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reple find period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 E	December 2004.						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-144</u> is/are pending in the application	on.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-144</u> is/are rejected.	-						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	*						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	,	•					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Oπice	Action of form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).					
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documen</li> </ol>	ts have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received in Applicati	ion No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	· ·	ed in this National Stage					
application from the International Burea							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not receive	ed.					
••• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO_413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	) 5)	Patent Application (PTO-152)					
S. Patent and Trademark Office.			_				

Application/Control Number: 09/899,787 Page 2

Art Unit: 3621

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This communication is in response to the applicant's amendment filed December 22, 2004. Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 17, 18, 25, 26, 27, 28, 31, 33, 36, 37, 38, 39, 45, 46, 47, 50, 52, 53, 58, 59, 63, 64, 69, 72, 74, 82, 83, 93, 94, 102, 103, 110, 111, 112, 113, 114, 116, 120, 121, 123, 124, 127, 128, 129, 130, 131, 132, 133, 34, 137, 140, 142. Claim 144 has been newly added. Claims 1-144 are pending in this case.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-143 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

- 3. Claims 140 and 141 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Although claim 140 has been amended, it still, in its amended form, does not meet the 101 test laid out below. Although, apparently an agreement was reached with respect to this claim via telephone interview, examiner mistakenly understood that the amendment to reference cryptography, and therefore technology, had been made to claim 140 (the independent claim) rather than to claim 141 (the dependent claim). As claim 140 stands, the rejection is maintained.
- Further, claims 1, 39, and 53 as amended, and therefore their dependent claims 2-38, 30-52, and 54-57 are now also rejected under section 101, for the same reasons outlined below.
- 5. The basis of this rejection is set forth in a two-prong test of:

Art Unit: 3621

(1) whether the invention is within the technological arts; and

- (2) whether the invention produces a useful, concrete, and tangible result.
- 6. For a claimed invention to be statutory, the claimed invention must be within the technological arts. Mere ideas in the abstract (i.e., abstract idea, law of nature, natural phenomena) that do not apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts fail to promote the "progress of science and the useful arts" (i.e., the physical sciences as opposed to social sciences, for example) and therefore are found to be non-statutory subject matter. For a process claim to pass muster, the recited process must somehow apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts.

Page 3

- 7. In the present case, claim 140 is directed at a business method, comprising the steps of: establishing, in an organization distinct from the caregiver, a medical information trust to hold a medical record or associated access permission on behalf of a patient; charging for access to or permission to access the medical record; and maintaining a record of each access of or permission to access the medical record.

  Nothing in the language of the claim indicates any relation with the technological arts.

  The method of this claim could be carried out easily with handwritten records kept in a trunk in the back room of a medical office.
- 8. Mere intended or nominal use of a component, albeit within the technological arts, does not confer statutory subject matter to an otherwise abstract idea if the component does not apply, involve, use, or advance the underlying process. Even though claim 141 indicates the use of cryptology, such use is not enough to render claim 140 within the technological arts.

Application/Control Number: 09/899,787 Page 4

Art Unit: 3621

9. Additionally, for a claimed invention to be statutory, the claimed invention must produce a useful, concrete, and tangible result. Clearly these claims do yield a useful, concrete and tangible result, that of holding medical records in confidence such that they are not revealed except to those people for the better treatment of the patient. However, since claims 1, 39, 53, and 140 meet only the one of the two prongs, they are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101, as are their dependent claims 2-38, 40-52, 54-57 and 141.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claims 96-126, 142-143 and 144 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anderson et al (US 6,021,202).
- 12. Regarding claims 96, 142 and 144 -

Anderson discloses a method, comprising the steps of: receiving a record; referencing a set of access rules for the record; and applying an appropriate set of access rules to limit access to the record, the limitations being enforced one or more selected from the group consisting of a cryptographic method for selectively limiting record access based on possession of a cryptographic key, and a trustee applying the access rules to limit access to the record (e.g. col 10 ln 35 – col 12 ln 10).

13. Regarding claims 97-126 and 143 -

Art Unit: 3621

Anderson discloses a method according to claim 1, further comprising the step of accounting for a decryption of the record, wherein said accounting is anonymous, wherein the record has a plurality of portions, each portion being encrypted with at least one cryptographic key, said portions being independently accessible, said access rules applying to selectively limit access to portions of the record, said access rules limit access to portions based on an identity of an intended recipient, supplying a decryption key for a respective record portion in accordance with the applied set of rules, comprising the step of accounting for a decryption of a portion of the record, wherein the set of access rules are associated with an intended recipient of the record, referencing an index to define a record, wherein the index further stores a set of access rules for qualifying an intended recipient with respect to each of the records, using an index to identify a record potentially responsive to a query, using an index comprising a set of associations of patient identities and medical transaction records to identify records relating to a respective patient, wherein the record comprises a plurality of portions, the portions being separately encrypted and having associated sets of independent rules, 1, wherein the access rules are role based access rules relating to a role of the intended recipient, wherein the remotely sensed transaction comprises a financial accounting transaction, wherein the remotely sensed transaction comprises an access audit trail transaction, wherein said accounting occurs upon supply of the respective decryption key, wherein the record comprises a medical record, wherein the access rules comprise a database of jurisdictional trust laws (e.g. col 11 In 65 - col 12 In 55).

Art Unit: 3621

14. Although Anderson does not specifically state a trust document, the difference in documents is merely in non-functional data.

Page 6

- 15. Claims 58-95, and 127-139 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anderson et al (US 6,021,202).
- 16. Regarding claim 58 and 127 -

Anderson discloses a method, comprising the steps of: placing information in trust with a trustee on behalf of a beneficiary; permitting a user to access the information in the trust; and implementing a rule for selectively providing access to the information in trust, including requiring an electronic communication between the user and the trustee, comprising a plurality of records, each record having an associated set of access rules, means for applying the appropriate set of access rules to limit access to the record, the limitations being enforced by one or more selected from the group consisting of a cryptographic method for selectively limiting record access based on possession of a cryptographic key and a trustee applying the access rules on behalf of a beneficiary, including patient-specific data, comprising at least one medical transaction information file, associated with the specific patient, each medical transaction information file being separately encrypted (e.g. col 10 ln 35 – col 12 ln 10).

16. Regarding claims 59-95, and 128-139 –

Anderson discloses a method, apparatus and system wherein the rule comprises a compensation rule for obtaining a right to the information, the electronic communication comprises an electronic funds transfer, wherein the compensation rule is integrally associated with the information, and wherein the implementing occurs as a result of

Art Unit: 3621

user interaction with the information, wherein the information comprises a patient medical record, wherein the information comprises consumer entertainment media, wherein the trustee is interposed between a rights holder for the information and the user, the trustee maintaining an anonymity of the user while accounting to the rights holder, wherein the trustee is interposed between a rights holder for the information and the user, the trustee characterizing the user based on a classification of information usage, while accounting to the rights holder for the use, without specifically identifying information usage of a user, wherein a transfer of the information to the user requires an electronic transfer of value from the user to the trustee, further comprising the accepting the value by the trustee while stripping a retained transfer record of an identifier of the user, receiving an identification of desired information content from a user; and logging the access employing a digital signature of the user, wherein the digital signature is anonymous with respect to the beneficiary, wherein the permitting the access entails requiring the user to enter into a restrictive covenant, wherein the trustee manages access to the information and implements the access rules as an intermediary for, and communicates compensation information to, the beneficiary, and wherein the information has an associated compensation value, and comprises digital media information, said digital media information being associated with subsidy content, further comprising the step of accounting with the user for use of the digital media information offset by a value for subsidy content (e.g. col 11 ln 65 – col 12 ln 55).

Page 7

17. Although Anderson does not specifically state a trust document, the difference in documents is merely in non-functional data.

Page 8

Art Unit: 3621

Examiner's note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may be applied as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant, in preparing the responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

#### Conclusion

- 19. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 20. Cordery et al (US 6,134,328A discloses a secure user certification for electronic commerce employing value metering system.
- 21. Ginter et al (US 6,640,304B1) discloses systems and methods for secure transaction management and electronic rights protection.
- 22. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cristina O Sherr whose telephone number is 703-305-0625. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 to 5:00.
- 23. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on 703-305-9768. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3621

24. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 9

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINITECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

\*\*\*